



**COUNCIL OF  
THE EUROPEAN UNION**

**Brussels, 15 July 2009**

**5347/3/09  
REV 3**

**COPEN 9  
EUROJUST 3  
EJN 2**

**NOTE**

---

from :	General Secretariat of the Council
to :	delegations
no prev. doc	14927/08 COPEN 200 EUROJUST 88 EJN 66
Subject :	Council Decision on the strengthening of Eurojust and amending Council Decision 2002/187/JHA setting up Eurojust with a view to reinforcing the fight against serious crime

---

Delegations will find in the Annex a consolidated version of the Council Decision 2002/187/JHA of 28 February 2002 setting up Eurojust with a view to reinforcing the fight against serious crime as amended by Council Decision 2003/659/JHA and by Council Decision 2009/426/JHA of 16 December 2008 on the strengthening of Eurojust<sup>1</sup>.

It is noted that the Recitals which are incorporated in the document are reproduced from the Council Decision 2009/426/JHA of 16 December 2008 on the strengthening of Eurojust and amending Council Decision 2002/187/JHA setting up Eurojust with a view to reinforcing the fight against serious crime.

The consolidated text of the Council decision does not replace the Council decisions 2002/187/JHA, 2003/659/JHA or 2009/426/JHA. It is prepared for information purposes only at the request of a number of delegations.

---

<sup>1</sup> Decision 2009/426/JHA (OJ L 138, 4.6.2009, p. 14).

**Council Decision on the strengthening of Eurojust and  
amending Decision 2002/187/JHA**

THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,

Having regard to the Treaty on European Union, and in particular Articles 31(2) and 34(2)(c) thereof,

Having regard to the initiative of the Kingdom of Belgium, the Czech Republic, the Republic of Estonia, the Kingdom of Spain, the French Republic, the Italian Republic, the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg, the Kingdom of the Netherlands, the Republic of Austria, the Republic of Poland, the Portuguese Republic, the Republic of Slovenia, the Slovak Republic and the Kingdom of Sweden,

Having regard to the Opinion of the European Parliament<sup>1</sup>,

---

<sup>1</sup> Opinion delivered on 2 September 2008 (not yet published in the Official Journal).

Whereas:

- (1) Eurojust was set up by Decision 2002/187/JHA<sup>1</sup> as a body of the European Union with legal personality to stimulate and to improve coordination and cooperation between competent judicial authorities of the Member States.
- (2) On the basis of an assessment of the experience gained by Eurojust, a further enhancement of its operational effectiveness is needed by taking account of that experience.
- (3) The time has come to ensure that Eurojust becomes more operational and that the status of national members is approximated.
- (4) In order to ensure continuous and effective contribution from the Member States to the achievement by Eurojust of its objectives, the national member should be required to have his regular place of work at the seat of Eurojust.
- (5) It is necessary to define a common basis of powers which every national member should have in his capacity as a competent national authority acting in accordance with national law. Some of these powers should be granted to the national member for urgent cases where it is not possible for him to identify or to contact the competent national authority in a timely manner. It is understood that these powers will not have to be exercised in so far as it is possible to identify and to contact the competent authority.
- (6) This Decision does not affect the manner in which the Member States organise their internal judicial system or administrative procedures for the designation of the national member and the setting up of the internal working of the national desks at Eurojust.

---

<sup>1</sup> OJ L 63, 6.3.2002, p. 1.

- (7) The setting up of an On-Call Coordination (OCC) within Eurojust is necessary to make Eurojust available around the clock and to enable it to intervene in urgent cases. It should be the responsibility of each Member State to ensure that their representatives in the OCC are able to act on a 24 hour/7 day basis.
- (8) Member States should ensure that competent national authorities respond without undue delay to requests made under this Decision, even if competent national authorities refuse to comply with requests made by the national member.
- (9) The role of the College should be enhanced in cases of conflict of jurisdiction and in cases of recurrent refusals or difficulties concerning the execution of requests for, and decisions on, judicial cooperation, including regarding instruments giving effect to the principle of mutual recognition.
- (10) Eurojust national coordination systems should be set up in the Member States to coordinate the work carried out by the national correspondents for Eurojust, the national correspondent for Eurojust for terrorism matters, the national correspondent for the European Judicial Network and up to three other contact points of the European Judicial Network, as well as representatives in the Networks for Joint Investigation Teams, War Crimes, Asset Recovery and Corruption.

- (11) The Eurojust national coordination system should ensure that the Case Management System receives information related to the Member State concerned in an efficient and reliable manner. However, the Eurojust national coordination system should not have to be responsible for actually transmitting information to Eurojust. Member States should decide on the best channel to be used for the transmission of information to Eurojust.
- (12) In order to enable the Eurojust national coordination system to fulfil its tasks, a connection to the Case Management System should be ensured. The connection to the Case Management System should be made taking due account of national information technology systems. Access to the Case Management System at national level should be based on the central role played by the national member who is responsible for the opening and management of temporary work files.
- (13) Council Framework Decision 2008/977/JHA of 27 November 2008 on the protection of personal data processed in the framework of police and judicial cooperation in criminal matters<sup>1</sup> is applicable to the processing by the Member States of the personal data transferred between the Member States and Eurojust. The relevant set of data protection provisions of Decision 2002/187/JHA will not be affected by Framework Decision 2008/977/JHA and contains specific provisions on the protection of personal data regulating these matters in more detail because of the particular nature, functions and competences of Eurojust.

---

<sup>1</sup> OJ L 350 of 30.12.2008, p. 60

- (14) Eurojust should be authorised to process certain personal data on persons who, under the national legislation of the Member States concerned, are suspected of having committed or having taken part in a criminal offence in respect of which Eurojust is competent, or who have been convicted of such an offence. The list of such personal data should include telephone numbers, e-mail addresses, vehicle registration data, DNA profiles established from the non-coding part of DNA, photographs and fingerprints. The list should also include traffic data and location data and the related data necessary to identify the subscriber or user of a publicly available electronic communications service; this should not include data revealing the content of the communication. It is not intended that Eurojust carry out an automated comparison of DNA profiles or fingerprints.
- (15) Eurojust should be given the opportunity to extend the deadlines for storage of personal data in order to achieve its objectives. Such decisions should be taken following careful consideration of particular needs. Any extension of deadlines for processing personal data, where prosecution is statute barred in all Member States concerned, should be decided only where there is a specific need to provide assistance under this Decision.
- (16) The Rules on the Joint Supervisory Body should facilitate its functioning.
- (17) With a view to increasing the operational effectiveness of Eurojust, transmission of information to Eurojust should be improved by providing clear and limited obligations for national authorities.
- (18) Eurojust should implement priorities set by the Council, in particular those set on the basis of the Organised Crime Threat Assessment (OCTA), as referred to in the Hague Programme<sup>1</sup>.

---

<sup>1</sup> OJ C 53, 3.3.2005, p. 1.

- (19) Eurojust is to maintain privileged relations with the European Judicial Network based on consultation and complementarity. This Decision should help clarify the respective roles of Eurojust and the European Judicial Network and their mutual relations, while maintaining the specificity of the European Judicial Network.
- (20) Nothing in this Decision should be construed to affect the autonomy of the secretariats of the networks mentioned in this Decision when they discharge their function as Eurojust staff in accordance with the Staff Regulations of Officials of the European Communities laid down by Regulation (EEC, Euratom, ECSC) No 259/68<sup>1</sup>.
- (21) It is also necessary to strengthen Eurojust's capacity to work with external partners, such as third States, the European Police Office (Europol), the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF), the Council's Joint Situation Centre and the European Agency for the Management of Operational Cooperation at the External Borders of the Member States of the European Union (Frontex).
- (22) Provision should be made for Eurojust to post liaison magistrates to third States in order to achieve objectives similar to those assigned to liaison magistrates seconded by the Member States on the basis of Council Joint Action 96/277/JHA of 22 April 1996 concerning a framework for the exchange of liaison magistrates to improve judicial cooperation between the Member States of the European Union<sup>2</sup>.
- (23) This Decision allows the principle of public access to official documents to be taken into account,

---

<sup>1</sup> OJ L 56, 4.3.1968, p. 1.

<sup>2</sup> OJ L 105, 27.4.1996, p. 1.

HAS DECIDED AS FOLLOWS:

*Article 1*

*Establishment and legal personality*

This Decision establishes a unit, referred to as “Eurojust”, as a body of the Union. Eurojust shall have legal personality.

*Article 2*

*Composition of Eurojust*

1. Eurojust shall have one national member seconded by each Member State in accordance with its legal system, who is a prosecutor, judge or police officer of equivalent competence.
2. Member States shall ensure continuous and effective contribution to the achievement by Eurojust of its objectives under Article 3. To fulfil those objectives:
  - (a) the national member shall be required to have his regular place of work at the seat of Eurojust;
  - (b) each national member shall be assisted by one deputy and by another person as an assistant. The deputy and the assistant may have their regular place of work at Eurojust. More deputies or assistants may assist the national member and may, if necessary and with the agreement of the College, have their regular place of work at Eurojust.
3. The national member shall have a position which grants him the powers referred to in this Decision in order to be able to fulfil his tasks.
4. National members, deputies and assistants shall be subject to the national law of their Member State as regards their status.



5. The deputy shall fulfil the criteria provided for in paragraph 1 and be able to act on behalf of or to substitute the national member. An assistant may also act on behalf of or substitute the national member if he fulfils the criteria provided for in paragraph 1.
6. Eurojust shall be linked to a Eurojust national coordination system in accordance with Article 12.
7. Eurojust shall have the possibility of posting liaison magistrates in third States in accordance with this Decision.
8. Eurojust shall, in accordance with this Decision, have a Secretariat headed by an Administrative Director

### *Article 3*

#### *Objectives*

1. In the context of investigations and prosecutions, concerning two or more Member States, of criminal behaviour referred to in Article 4 in relation to serious crime, particularly when it is organised, the objectives of Eurojust shall be:
  - (a) to stimulate and improve the coordination, between the competent authorities of the Member States, of investigations and prosecutions in the Member States, taking into account any request emanating from a competent authority of a Member State and any information provided by any body competent by virtue of provisions adopted within the framework of the Treaties ;
  - (b) to improve cooperation between the competent authorities of the Member States, in particular by facilitating the execution of requests for, and decisions on, judicial cooperation, including regarding instruments giving effects to the principle of mutual recognition;
  - (c) to support otherwise the competent authorities of the Member States in order to render their investigations and prosecutions more effective.

2. In accordance with the rules laid down by this Decision and at the request of a Member State's competent authority, Eurojust may also assist investigations and prosecutions concerning only that Member State and a non-Member State where an agreement establishing cooperation pursuant to Article 26a(2) has been concluded with the said State or where in a specific case there is an essential interest in providing such assistance.
  
3. In accordance with the rules laid down by this Decision and at the request either of a Member State's competent authority or of the Commission, Eurojust may also assist investigations and prosecutions concerning only that Member State and the Community.

#### *Article 4*

#### *Competences*

1. The general competence of Eurojust shall cover:
  - (a) the types of crime and the offences in respect of which Europol is at all times competent to act;<sup>1</sup>
  - (b) other offences committed together with the types of crime and the offences referred to in point (a).
  
2. For types of offences other than those referred to in §1, Eurojust may in addition, in accordance with its objectives, assist in investigations and prosecutions at the request of a competent authority of a Member State.

---

<sup>1</sup> At the time of adoption of this Decision, the competence of Europol is set out in Article 2(1) of the Convention of 26 July 1995 on the establishment of a European Police Office (Europol Convention) (OJ C 316, 27.11.1995, p. 2), as amended by the 2003 Protocol (OJ C 2, 6.1.2004, p. 1), and in the Annex thereto. However, once the Council Decision establishing the European Police Office (Europol) enters into force, the competence of Eurojust will be as set out in Article 4(1) of that Decision and in the Annex thereto.

*Article 5*

*Tasks of Eurojust*

1. In order to accomplish its objectives, Eurojust shall fulfil its tasks:
  - (a) through one or more of the national members concerned in accordance with Art.6, or
  - (b) as a College in accordance with Art. 7 :
    - (i) when so requested by one or more of the national members concerned by a case dealt with by Eurojust, or
    - (ii) when the case involves investigations or prosecutions which have repercussions at Union level or which might affect Member States other than those directly concerned, or
    - (iii) when a general question relating to the achievement of its objectives is involved,  
or
    - (iv) when otherwise provided for in this Decision.
2. When it fulfils its tasks, Eurojust shall indicate whether it is acting through one or more of the national members within the meaning of Art. 6 or as a College within the meaning of Art.7.

*Article 5a*

*On-call coordination (OCC)*

1. In order to fulfil its tasks in urgent cases, Eurojust shall put in place an On-Call Coordination (OCC) able to receive and process at all times requests referred to it. The OCC shall be contactable, through a single OCC contact point at Eurojust, on a 24 hour/7 day basis.
2. The OCC shall rely on one representative (OCC representative) per Member State who may be either the national member, his deputy, or an assistant entitled to replace the national member. The OCC representative shall be able to act on a 24 hour/7 day basis.
3. When in urgent cases a request for, or a decision on, judicial cooperation, including regarding instruments giving effect to the principle of mutual recognition, needs to be executed in one or more Member States, the requesting or issuing competent authority may forward it to the OCC. The OCC contact point shall immediately forward it to the OCC representative of the Member State from which the request originates and, if explicitly requested by the transmitting or issuing authority, to the OCC representatives of the Member States on the territory of which the request should be executed. These OCC representatives shall act without delay, in relation to the execution of the request in their Member State, through the exercise of tasks or powers available to them and referred to in Article 6 and Articles 9a to 9f.

*Article 6*

*Tasks of Eurojust acting through its national members*

1. When Eurojust acts through its national members concerned, it:
  - (a) may ask the competent authorities of the Member States concerned, giving its reasons, to :
    - (i) undertake an investigation or prosecution of specific acts;
    - (ii) accept that one of them may be in a better position to undertake an investigation or to prosecute specific acts;
    - (iii) coordinate between the competent authorities of the Member States concerned;
    - (iv) set up a joint investigation team in keeping with the relevant cooperation instruments;
    - (v) provide it with any information that is necessary for it to carry out its tasks;
    - (vi) take special investigative measures;
    - (vii) take any other measure justified for the investigation or prosecution;
  - (b) shall ensure that the competent authorities of the Member States concerned inform each other on investigations and prosecutions of which it has been informed;
  - (c) shall assist the competent authorities of the Member States, at their request, in ensuring the best possible coordination of investigations and prosecutions;
  - (d) shall give assistance in order to improve cooperation between the competent national authorities;
  - (e) shall cooperate and consult with the European Judicial Network, including making use of and contributing to the improvement of its documentary database;

- (f) shall, in the cases referred to in Article 3(2) and (3) and with the agreement of the College, assist investigations and prosecutions concerning the competent authorities of only one Member State;
2. The Member States shall ensure that competent national authorities respond without undue delay to requests made under this Article.

## *Article 7*

### *Tasks of Eurojust acting as a College*

1. When Eurojust acts as a College, it:
- (a) may in relation to the types of crime and the offences referred to in Article 4(1) ask the competent authorities of the Member States concerned, giving its reasons:
    - (i) to undertake an investigation or prosecution of specific acts;
    - (ii) to accept that one of them may be in a better position to undertake an investigation or to prosecute specific acts;
    - (iii) to coordinate between the competent authorities of the Member States concerned;
    - (iv) to set up a joint investigation team in keeping with the relevant cooperation instruments;
    - (v) to provide it with any information that is necessary for it to carry out its tasks;
  - (b) shall ensure that the competent authorities of the Member States inform each other of investigations and prosecutions of which it has been informed and which have repercussions at Union level or which might affect Member States other than those directly concerned;

- (c) shall assist the competent authorities of the Member States, at their request, in ensuring the best possible coordination of investigations and prosecutions;
  - (d) shall give assistance in order to improve cooperation between the competent authorities of the Member States, in particular on the basis of Europol's analysis;
  - (e) shall cooperate and consult with the European Judicial Network, including making use of and contributing to the improvement of its documentary database;
  - (f) may assist Europol, in particular by providing it with opinions based on analyses carried out by Europol;
  - (g) may supply logistical support in the cases referred to in points (a), (c) and (d). Such logistical support may include assistance for translation, interpretation and the organisation of coordination meetings.
2. Where two or more national members can not agree on how to resolve a case of conflict of jurisdiction as regards the undertaking of investigations or prosecution pursuant to Article 6 and in particular Article 6(1)(c), the College shall be asked to issue a written non-binding opinion on the case, provided the matter could not be resolved through mutual agreement between the competent national authorities concerned. The opinion of the College shall be promptly forwarded to the Member States concerned. This paragraph is without prejudice to paragraph 1(a)(ii).
3. Notwithstanding the provisions contained in any instruments adopted by the European Union regarding judicial cooperation, a competent authority may report to Eurojust recurrent refusals or difficulties concerning the execution of requests for, and decisions on, judicial cooperation, including regarding instruments giving effect to the principle of mutual recognition, and request the College to issue a written non-binding opinion on the matter, provided it could not be resolved through mutual agreement between the competent national authorities or through the involvement of the national members concerned. The opinion of the College shall be promptly forwarded to the Member States concerned.

## *Article 8*

### *Follow up to requests and opinions of Eurojust*

If the competent authorities of the Member States concerned decide not to comply with a request referred to in Article 6(1)(a) or Article 7(1)(a) or decide not to follow a written opinion referred to in Article 7(2) and (3), they shall inform Eurojust without undue delay of their decision and of the reasons for it. Where it is not possible to give the reasons for refusing to comply with a request because to do so would harm essential national security interests or would jeopardise the safety of individuals, the competent authorities of the Member States may cite operational reasons.

## *Article 9*

### *National members*

1. The length of a national member's term of office shall be at least four years. The Member State of origin may renew the term of office. The national member shall not be removed before the end of a term without informing the Council before the removal and indicating to it the reason therefore. Where a national member is President or Vice-President of Eurojust, his term of office as a member shall at least be such that he can fulfil his function as President or Vice-President for the full elected term.
2. All information exchanged between Eurojust and Member States shall be directed through the national member.
3. In order to meet Eurojust's objectives, the national member shall have at least equivalent access to, or at least be able to obtain the information contained in, the following types of registers of his Member State as would be available to him in his role as a prosecutor, judge or police officer, whichever is applicable, at national level:
  - (a) criminal records;
  - (b) registers of arrested persons;



- (c) investigation registers;
  - (d) DNA registers;
  - (e) other registers of his Member State where he deems this information necessary for him to be able to fulfil his tasks.
4. A national member may contact the competent authorities of his Member State directly.

#### *Article 9a*

##### *Powers of the national member granted to him at national level*

1. When a national member exercises the powers referred to in Articles 9b, 9c and 9d, he does so in his capacity as a competent national authority acting in accordance with national law and subject to the conditions laid down in this Article and Articles 9b to 9e. In the performance of his tasks the national member shall, where appropriate, make it known whenever he is acting in accordance with the powers granted to national members under this Article and Articles 9b, 9c and 9d.
2. Each Member State shall define the nature and extent of the powers it grants its national member as regards judicial cooperation in respect of that Member State. However, each Member State shall grant its national member at least the powers described in Article 9b and, subject to Article 9e, the powers described in Articles 9c and 9d, which would be available to him as a judge, prosecutor or police officer, whichever is applicable, at national level.
3. When appointing its national member and at any other time if appropriate, the Member State shall notify Eurojust and the General Secretariat of the Council of its decision regarding the implementation of paragraph 2 so that the latter can inform the other Member States. The Member States shall undertake to accept and recognise the prerogatives thus granted in so far as they are in conformity with international commitments.
4. Each Member State shall define the right for a national member to act in relation to foreign judicial authorities, in accordance with its international commitments.

*Article 9b*  
*Ordinary powers*

1. National members, in their capacity as competent national authorities, shall be entitled to receive, transmit, facilitate, follow up and provide supplementary information in relation to the execution of requests for, and decisions on, judicial cooperation, including regarding instruments giving effect to the principle of mutual recognition. When powers referred to in this paragraph are exercised, the competent national authority shall be informed promptly.
2. In case of partial or inadequate execution of a request for judicial cooperation, national members, in their capacity as competent national authorities, shall be entitled to ask the competent national authority of their Member State for supplementary measures in order for the request to be fully executed.

*Article 9c*  
*Powers exercised in agreement with a competent national authority*

1. National members may, in their capacity as competent national authorities, in agreement with a competent national authority, or at its request and on a case-by-case basis, exercise the following powers:
  - (a) issuing and completing requests for, and decisions on, judicial cooperation, including regarding instruments giving effect to the principle of mutual recognition;
  - (b) executing in their Member State requests for, and decisions on, judicial cooperation, including regarding instruments giving effect to the principle of mutual recognition;
  - (c) ordering in their Member State investigative measures considered necessary at a coordination meeting organised by Eurojust to provide assistance to competent national authorities concerned by a concrete investigation and to which competent national authorities concerned with the investigation are invited to participate;

- (d) authorising and coordinating controlled deliveries in their Member State.
2. Powers referred to in this Article shall, in principle, be exercised by a competent national authority.

*Article 9d*

*Powers exercised in urgent cases*

In their capacity as competent national authorities, national members shall, in urgent cases and in so far as it is not possible for them to identify or to contact the competent national authority in a timely manner, be entitled:

- (a) to authorise and to coordinate controlled deliveries in their Member State;
- (b) to execute, in relation to their Member State a request for, or a decision on, judicial cooperation, including regarding instruments giving effect to the principle of mutual recognition.

As soon as the competent national authority is identified or contacted, it shall be informed of the exercise of powers referred to in this Article.

*Article 9e*

*Requests from national members where powers cannot be exercised*

1. The national member, in his capacity as a competent national authority, shall be at least competent to submit a proposal to the authority competent for the carrying out of powers referred to in Articles 9c and 9d when granting such powers to the national member is contrary to:
- (a) constitutional rules,
  - or
  - (b) fundamental aspects of the criminal justice system:
    - (i) regarding the division of powers between the police, prosecutors and judges,

(ii) regarding the functional division of tasks between prosecution authorities,

or

(iii) related to the federal structure of the Member State concerned.

2. Member States shall ensure that, in cases referred to in paragraph 1, the request issued by the national member be handled without undue delay by the competent national authority.

#### *Article 9f*

##### *Participation of national members in joint investigation teams*

National members shall be entitled to participate in joint investigation teams, including in their setting up, in accordance with Article 13 of the Convention on Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters between the Member States of the European Union or Council Framework Decision 2002/465/JHA of 13 June 2002 on joint investigation teams<sup>1</sup>, concerning their own Member State. However, Member States may make the participation of the national member subject to the agreement of the competent national authority. National members, their deputies or their assistants, shall be invited to participate in any joint investigation team involving their Member State and for which Community funding is provided under the applicable financial instruments. Each Member State shall define whether the national member participates in the joint investigation team as a national competent authority or on behalf of Eurojust.

#### *Article 10*

##### *College*

1. The College shall consist of all the national members. Each national member shall have one vote.

---

<sup>1</sup> OJ L 162 of 20.06.2002, p. 1.

2. The Council shall, acting by a qualified majority, approve Eurojust's rules of procedure on a proposal from the College. The College shall adopt its proposal by a two-thirds majority after consulting the Joint Supervisory Board provided for in Article 23 as regards the provisions on the processing of personal data. The provisions of the rules of procedure which concern the processing of personal data may be made the subject of separate approval by the Council.
3. When acting in accordance with Art. 7(1)(a), (2) and (3) , the College shall take its decisions by a two-thirds majority. Other decisions of the College shall be taken in accordance with the rules of procedure.

#### *Article 11*

##### *Role of the Commission*

1. The Commission shall be fully associated with the work of Eurojust, in accordance with Art. 36(2) of the Treaty. It shall participate in that work in the areas within its competence.
2. As regards work carried out by Eurojust on the coordination of investigations and prosecutions, the Commission may be invited to provide its expertise.
3. For the purpose of enhancing cooperation between Eurojust and the Commission, Eurojust may agree on necessary practical arrangements with the Commission.

#### *Article 12*

##### *Eurojust national coordination system*

1. Each Member State shall designate one or more national correspondents for Eurojust.
2. Each Member State shall, before 04 June 2011, set up a Eurojust national coordination system to ensure coordination of the work carried out by:

- (a) the national correspondents for Eurojust;
  - (b) the national correspondent for Eurojust for terrorism matters;
  - (c) the national correspondent for the European Judicial Network and up to three other contact points of the European Judicial Network;
  - (d) national members or contact points of the Network for Joint Investigation Teams and of the networks set up by Council Decision 2002/494/JHA of 13 June 2002 setting up a European network of contact points in respect of persons responsible for genocide, crimes against humanity and war crimes<sup>1</sup>, Council Decision 2007/845/JHA of 6 December 2007 concerning cooperation between Asset Recovery Offices of the Member States in the field of tracing and identification of proceeds from, or other property related to, crime<sup>2</sup> and by Council Decision 2008/852/JHA of 24 October 2008 on a contact-point network against corruption<sup>3</sup>.
3. The persons referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2 shall maintain their position and status under national law.
  4. The national correspondents for Eurojust shall be responsible for the functioning of the Eurojust national coordination system. When several correspondents for Eurojust are designated, one of them shall be responsible for the functioning of the Eurojust national coordination system.
  5. The Eurojust national coordination system shall facilitate, within the Member State, the carrying out of the tasks of Eurojust, in particular by:
    - (a) ensuring that the Case Management System referred to in Article 16 receives information related to the Member State concerned in an efficient and reliable manner;

---

<sup>1</sup> OJ L 167, 26.6.2002, p. 1

<sup>2</sup> OJ L 332, 18.12.2007, p. 103.

<sup>3</sup> OJ L301 of 12.11.2008, p. 38

- (b) assisting in determining whether a case should be dealt with with the assistance of Eurojust or of the European Judicial Network;
  - (c) assisting the national member to identify relevant authorities for the execution of requests for, and decisions on, judicial cooperation, including regarding instruments giving effect to the principle of mutual recognition;
  - (d) maintaining close relations with the Europol National Unit.
6. In order to meet the objectives referred to in paragraph 5, persons referred to in paragraph 1 and paragraph 2(a), (b) and (c) shall, and persons referred to in paragraph 2(d) may, be connected to the Case Management System in accordance with this Article and Articles 16, 16a, 16b and 18 as well as with the Rules of Procedure of Eurojust. The connection to the Case Management System shall be at the charge of the general budget of the European Union.
7. Nothing in this Article shall be construed to affect direct contacts between competent judicial authorities as provided for in instruments on judicial cooperation, such as Article 6 of the Convention on Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters between the Member States of the European Union. Relations between the national member and national correspondents shall not preclude direct contacts between the national member and his competent authorities.

### *Article 13*

#### *Exchanges of information with the Member States and between national members*

1. The competent authorities of the Member States shall exchange with Eurojust any information necessary for the performance of its tasks in accordance with Articles 4 and 5 as well as with the rules on data protection set out in this Decision. This shall at least include the information referred to in paragraphs 5, 6 and 7.
2. The transmission of information to Eurojust shall be interpreted as a request for the assistance of Eurojust in the case concerned only if so specified by a competent authority.

3. The national members of Eurojust shall be empowered to exchange any information necessary for the performance of the tasks of Eurojust, without prior authorisation, among themselves or with their Member State's competent authorities. In particular national members shall be promptly informed of a case which concerns them.
4. This Article shall be without prejudice to other obligations regarding the transmission of information to Eurojust, including Council Decision 2005/671/JHA of 20 September 2005 on the exchange of information and cooperation concerning terrorist offences<sup>1</sup>
5. Member States shall ensure that national members are informed of the setting up of a joint investigation team, whether it is set up under Article 13 of the Convention on Mutual Assistance in Criminal Matters between the Member States of the European Union or under Framework Decision 2002/465/JHA<sup>2</sup>, and of the results of the work of such teams.
6. Member States shall ensure that their national member is informed without undue delay of any case in which at least three Member States are directly involved and for which requests for or decisions on judicial cooperation, including regarding instruments giving effect to the principle of mutual recognition, have been transmitted to at least two Member States and
  - (a) the offence involved is punishable in the requesting or issuing Member State by a custodial sentence or a detention order for a maximum period of at least five or six years, to be decided by the Member State concerned, and is included in the following list:
    - (i) trafficking in human beings;
    - (ii) sexual exploitation of children and child pornography;
    - (iii) drug trafficking;

---

<sup>1</sup> OJ L 167, 26.6.2002, p. 1

<sup>2</sup> OJ L 253, 29.9.2005, p. 22



- (iv) trafficking in firearms, their parts and components and ammunition;
- (v) corruption;
- (vi) fraud affecting the financial interests of the European Communities;
- (vii) counterfeiting of the euro;
- (viii) money laundering;
- (ix) attacks against information systems;

or

- (b) there are factual indications that a criminal organisation is involved;

or

- (c) there are indications that the case may have a serious cross-border dimension or repercussions at European Union level or that it might affect Member States other than those directly involved.

7. Member States shall ensure that their national member is informed of:

- (a) cases where conflicts of jurisdiction have arisen or are likely to arise;
- (b) controlled deliveries affecting at least three States, at least two of which are Member States;
- (c) repeated difficulties or refusals regarding the execution of requests for, and decisions on, judicial cooperation, including regarding instruments giving effect to the principle of mutual recognition.

8. National authorities shall not be obliged in a particular case to supply information if this would mean:

- (a) harming essential national security interests; or
- (b) jeopardising the safety of individuals.

9. This Article shall be without prejudice to conditions set in bilateral or multilateral agreements or arrangements between Member States and third countries including any conditions set by third countries concerning the use of information once supplied.
10. Information transmitted to Eurojust pursuant to paragraphs 5, 6 and 7 shall at least include, where available, the types of information contained in the list provided for in the Annex.
11. Information referred to in this Article shall be transmitted to Eurojust in a structured way.
12. By 04 June 2014<sup>1</sup>, the Commission shall establish, on the basis of information transmitted by Eurojust, a report on the implementation of this Article, accompanied by any proposal it may deem appropriate, including with a view to considering an amendment of paragraphs 5, 6 and 7 and the Annex.

#### *Article 13a*

##### *Information provided by Eurojust to competent national authorities*

1. Eurojust shall provide competent national authorities with information and feedback on the results of the processing of information, including the existence of links with cases already stored in the Case Management System.
2. Furthermore, where a competent national authority requests Eurojust to provide it with information, Eurojust shall transmit it in the timeframe requested by that authority.

---

<sup>1</sup> OJ L 253 of 29.09.2009, p. 22

## *Article 14*

### *Processing of personal data*

1. Insofar as it is necessary to achieve its objectives, Eurojust may, within the framework of its competence and in order to carry out its tasks, process personal data, by automated means or in structured manual files.
2. Eurojust shall take the necessary measures to guarantee a level of protection for personal data at least equivalent to that resulting from the application of the principles of the Council of Europe Convention of 28 January 1981 and subsequent amendments thereto where they are in force in the Member States.
3. Personal data processed by Eurojust shall be adequate, relevant and not excessive in relation to the purpose of the processing, and, taking into account the information provided by the competent authorities of the Member States or other partners in accordance with Art. 13, 26 and 26a accurate and up-to-date. Personal data processed by Eurojust shall be processed fairly and lawfully.

## *Article 15*

### *Restrictions on the processing of personal data*

1. When processing data in accordance with Article 14(1), Eurojust may process only the following personal data on persons who, under the national legislation of the Member States concerned are suspected of having committed or having taken part in a criminal offence in respect of which Eurojust is competent or who have been convicted of such an offence:
  - (a) surname, maiden name, given names and any alias or assumed names;
  - (b) date and place of birth;
  - (c) nationality;
  - (d) sex;

- (e) place of residence, profession and whereabouts of the person concerned;
  - (f) social security numbers, driving licences, identification documents and passport data;
  - (g) information concerning legal persons if it includes information relating to identified or identifiable individuals who are the subject of a judicial investigation or prosecution;
  - (h) bank accounts and accounts with other financial institutions;
  - (i) description and nature of the alleged offences, the date on which they were committed, the criminal category of the offences and the progress of the investigations ;
  - (j) the facts pointing to an international extension of the case ;
  - (k) details relating to alleged membership of a criminal organisation ;
  - (l) telephone numbers, e-mail addresses and data referred to in Article 2(2)(a) of Directive 2006/24/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 March 2006 on the retention of data generated or processed in connection with the provision of publicly available electronic communications services or of public communications networks<sup>1</sup>;
  - (m) vehicle registration data ;
  - (n) DNA profiles established from the non-coding part of DNA, photographs and fingerprints.
2. When processing data in accordance with Art. 14(1), Eurojust may process only the following personal data on persons who, under the national legislation of the Member States concerned, are regarded as witnesses or victims in a criminal investigation or prosecution regarding one or more of the types of crime and the offences defined in Art. 4:

---

<sup>1</sup> OJ L 105, 13.4.2006, p. 54

- (a) surname, maiden name, given names and any alias or assumed names;
  - (b) date and place of birth;
  - (c) nationality;
  - (d) sex;
  - (e) place of residence, profession and whereabouts of the person concerned;
  - (f) the description and nature of the offences involving them, the date on which they were committed, the criminal category of the offences and the progress of the investigations.
3. However, in exceptional cases, Eurojust may also, for a limited period of time, process other personal data relating to the circumstances of an offence where they are immediately relevant to and included in ongoing investigations which Eurojust is helping to coordinate, provided that the processing of such specific data is in accordance with Articles 14 and 21. The Data Protection Officer referred to in Article 17 shall be informed immediately of recourse to this paragraph. Where such other data refer to witnesses or victims within the meaning of paragraph 2, the decision to process them shall be taken jointly by at least two national members.
4. Personal data, processed by automated or other means, revealing racial or ethnic origin, political opinions, religious or philosophical beliefs, trade union membership, and data concerning health or sex life may be processed by Eurojust only when such data are necessary for the national investigations concerned as well as for coordination within Eurojust. The Data Protection Officer shall be informed immediately of recourse to this paragraph. Such data may not be processed in the Index referred to in Art. 16(1). Where such other data refer to witnesses or victims within the meaning of paragraph 2, the decision to process them shall be taken by the College.

*Article 16*

*Case Management System, index and temporary work files*

1. In accordance with this Decision, Eurojust shall establish a Case Management System composed of temporary work files and of an index which contain personal and non-personal data.
2. The Case Management System shall be intended to:
  - (a) support the management and coordination of investigations and prosecutions for which Eurojust is providing assistance, in particular by the cross-referencing of information;
  - (b) facilitate access to information on ongoing investigations and prosecutions;
  - (c) facilitate the monitoring of lawfulness and compliance with the provisions of this Decision concerning the processing of personal data.
3. The Case Management System, in so far as this is in conformity with rules on data protection contained in this Decision, may be linked to the secure telecommunications connection referred to in Article 9 of Council Decision 2008/976/JHA of 16 December 2008 on the European Judicial Network<sup>1</sup>.
4. The index shall contain references to temporary work files processed within the framework of Eurojust and may contain no personal data other than those referred to in Article 15(1)(a) to (i), (k) and (m) and in Article 15(2).
5. In the performance of their duties in accordance with this Decision, the national members of Eurojust may process data on the individual cases on which they are working in a temporary work file. They shall allow the Data Protection Officer to have access to the work file. The Data Protection Officer shall be informed by the national member concerned of the opening of each new temporary work file that contains personal data.

---

<sup>1</sup> OJ L 348 of 24.12.2008, p. 130

6. For the processing of case related personal data, Eurojust may not establish any automated data file other than the Case Management System.

#### *Article 16a*

##### *Functioning of temporary work files and the index*

1. A temporary work file shall be opened by the national member concerned for every case with respect to which information is transmitted to him in so far as this transmission is in accordance with this Decision or with instruments referred to in Article 13(4). The national member shall be responsible for the management of the temporary work files which he has opened.
2. The national member who has opened a temporary work file shall decide, on a case-by-case basis, whether to keep the temporary work file restricted or to give access to it or to parts of it, where necessary to enable Eurojust to carry out its tasks, to other national members or to authorised Eurojust staff.
3. The national member who has opened a temporary work file shall decide which information related to this temporary work file shall be introduced in the index.

#### *Article 16b*

##### *Access to the Case Management System at national level*

1. Persons referred to in Article 12(2) in so far as they are connected to the Case Management System in accordance with Article 12(6) may only have access to:
  - (a) the index, unless the national member who has decided to introduce the data in the index expressly denied such access;
  - (b) temporary work files opened or managed by the national member of their Member State;

- (c) temporary work files opened or managed by national members of other Member States and to which the national member of their Member States has received access unless the national member who opened or manages the temporary work file expressly denied such access.
2. The national member shall, within the limitations provided for in paragraph 1, decide on the extent of access to the temporary work files which is granted in his Member State to persons referred to in Article 12(2) in so far as they are connected to the Case Management System in accordance with Article 12(6).
  3. Each Member State shall decide, after consultation with its national member, on the extent of access to the index which is granted in that Member State to persons referred to in Article 12(2) in so far as they are connected to the Case Management System in accordance with Article 12(6). Member States shall notify Eurojust and the General Secretariat of the Council of their decision regarding the implementation of this paragraph so that the latter can inform the other Member States.

However, persons referred to in Article 12(2), in so far as they are connected to the Case Management System in accordance with Article 12(6), shall at least have access to the index to the extent necessary to access the temporary work files to which they have been granted access in accordance with paragraph 2 of this Article.

4. By 04 June 2013, Eurojust shall report to the Council and the Commission on the implementation of paragraph 3. Each Member State shall consider, on the basis of that report, the opportunity to review the extent of access provided in accordance with paragraph 3.



*Article 17*

*Data Protection Officer*

1. Eurojust shall have a specially appointed Data Protection Officer, who shall be a member of the staff. Within that framework, he or she shall be under the direct authority of the College. In the performance of the duties referred to in this article, he shall act independently.
2. The Data Protection Officer shall in particular have the following tasks:
  - (a) ensuring, in an independent manner, lawfulness and compliance with the provisions of this Decision concerning the processing of personal data;
  - (b) ensuring that a written record of the transmission and receipt, for the purposes of Art.19(3) in particular, of personal data is kept in accordance with the provisions to be laid down in the rules of procedure, under the security conditions laid down in Art. 22;
  - (c) ensuring that data subjects are informed of their rights under this Decision at their request.
3. In the performance of his tasks, the Data Protection Officer shall have access to all the data processed by Eurojust and to all Eurojust premises.
4. When he finds that in his view processing has not complied with this Decision, the Data Protection Officer shall:
  - (a) inform the College, which shall acknowledge receipt of the information;
  - (b) refer the matter to the JSB if the College has not resolved the non-compliance of the processing within a reasonable time.

## *Article 18*

### *Authorised access to personal data*

Only national members, their deputies and their assistants referred to in Article 2(2), persons referred to in Article 12(2) in so far as they are connected to the Case Management System in accordance with Article 12(6) and authorised Eurojust staff may, for the purpose of achieving Eurojust's objectives and within the limits provided for in Articles 16, 16a and 16b, have access to personal data processed by Eurojust.

## *Article 19*

### *Right of access to personal data*

1. Every individual shall be entitled to have access to personal data concerning him processed by Eurojust under the conditions laid down in this article.
2. Any individual wishing to exercise his right to have access to data concerning him which are stored at Eurojust, or to have them checked in accordance with Art. 20, may make a request to that effect free of charge in the Member State of his choice, to the authority appointed for that purpose in that Member State, and that authority shall refer it to Eurojust without delay.
3. The right of any individual to have access to personal data concerning him or to have them checked shall be exercised in accordance with the laws and procedures of the Member State in which the individual has made his request. If, however, Eurojust can ascertain which authority in a State transmitted the data in question, that authority may require that the right of access be exercised in accordance with the rules of the law of that Member State.

4. Access to personal data shall be denied if :
  - (a) such access may jeopardise one of Eurojust's activities;
  - (b) such access may jeopardise any national investigation;
  - (c) such access may jeopardise the rights and freedoms of third parties.
5. The decision to grant this right of access shall take due account of the status, with regard to the data stored by Eurojust, of those individuals submitting the request.
6. The national members concerned by the request shall deal with it and reach a decision on Eurojust's behalf. The request shall be dealt with in full within three months of receipt. Where the members are not in agreement, they shall refer the matter to the College, which shall take its decision on the request by a two-thirds majority.
7. If access is denied or if no personal data concerning the applicant are processed by Eurojust, the latter shall notify the applicant that it has carried out checks, without giving any information which could reveal whether or not the applicant is known.
8. If the applicant is not satisfied with the reply given to his request, he may appeal against that decision before the JSB. The JSB shall examine whether or not the decision taken by Eurojust is in conformity with this Decision.
9. The competent law enforcement authorities of the Member States shall be consulted by Eurojust before a decision is taken. They shall subsequently be notified of its contents through the national members concerned.

*Article 20*

*Correction and deletion of personal data*

1. In accordance with Art. 19(3), every individual shall be entitled to ask Eurojust to correct, block or delete data concerning him if they are incorrect or incomplete or if their input or storage contravenes this Decision.
2. Eurojust shall notify the applicant if it corrects, blocks or deletes the data concerning him. If the applicant is not satisfied with Eurojust's reply, he may refer the matter to the JSB within thirty days of receiving Eurojust's decision.
3. At the request of a MS's competent authorities, national member or national correspondent, if any, and under their responsibility, Eurojust shall, in accordance with its rules of procedure, correct or delete personal data being processed by Eurojust which were transmitted or entered by that Member State, its national member or its national correspondent. The Member States' competent authorities and Eurojust, including the national member or national correspondent, if any, shall in this context ensure that the principles laid down in Art. 14(2) and (3) and in Art.15(4) are complied with.
4. If it emerges that personal data processed by Eurojust are incorrect or incomplete or that their input or storage contravenes the provisions of this Decision, Eurojust shall block, correct or delete such data.
5. In the cases referred to in §3 and 4, all the suppliers and addressees of such data shall be notified immediately. In accordance with the rules applicable to them, the addressees, shall then correct, block or delete those data in their own systems.

*Article 21*

*Time limits for the storage of personal data*

1. Personal data processed by Eurojust shall be stored by Eurojust for only as long as is necessary for the achievement of its objectives.
2. The personal data referred to in Art. 14(1) which have been processed by Eurojust may not be stored beyond the first applicable among the following dates:
  - (a) the date on which prosecution is barred under the statute of limitations of all the Member States concerned by the investigation and prosecutions;
  - (aa) the date on which the person has been acquitted and the decision became final;
  - (b) three years after the date on which the judicial decision of the last of the Member States concerned by the investigation or prosecutions became final;
  - (c) the date on which Eurojust and the Member States concerned mutually established or agreed that it was no longer necessary for Eurojust to coordinate the investigation and prosecutions, unless there is an obligation to provide Eurojust with this information in accordance with Article 13(6) and (7) or according to instruments referred to in Article 13(4);
  - (d) three years after the date on which data were transmitted according to Article 13(6) and (7) or according to instruments referred to in Article 13(4).
3. (a) Observance of the storage periods referred to in paragraph 2(a),(b),(c) and (d) shall be reviewed constantly by appropriate automated processing. Nevertheless, a review of the need to store the data shall be carried out every three years after they were entered.

- (b) When one of the storage deadlines referred to in paragraph 2(a), (b), (c) and (d) has expired, Eurojust shall review the need to store the data longer in order to enable it to achieve its objectives and it may decide by way of derogation to store those data until the following review. However, once prosecution is statute barred in all Member States concerned as referred to in paragraph 2(a), data may only be stored if they are necessary in order for Eurojust to provide assistance in accordance with this Decision.
  - (c) Where data has been stored by way of derogation pursuant to point (b) a review of the need to store those data shall take place every three years.
4. Where a file exists containing non-automated and unstructured data, once the deadline for storage of the last item of automated data from the file has elapsed all the documents in the file shall be returned to the authority which supplied them and any copies shall be destroyed.
  5. Where Eurojust has coordinated an investigation or prosecutions, the national members concerned shall inform Eurojust and the other Member States concerned of all the judicial decisions relating to the case which have become final in order, inter alia , that § 2(b) may be applied.

## *Article 22*

### *Data security*

1. Eurojust and, insofar as it is concerned by data transmitted from Eurojust, each Member State, shall, as regards the processing of personal data within the framework of this Decision, protect personal data against accidental or unlawful destruction, accidental loss or unauthorised disclosure, alteration and access or any other unauthorised form of processing.
2. The rules of procedure shall contain the technical measures and the organisational arrangements needed to implement this Decision with regard to data security and in particular measures designed to:
  - (a) deny unauthorised persons access to data processing equipment used for processing personal data;
  - (b) prevent the unauthorised reading, copying, modification or removal of data media ;
  - (c) prevent the unauthorised input of data and the unauthorised inspection, modification or deletion of stored personal data ;
  - (d) prevent the use of automated data processing systems by unauthorised persons using data communication equipment ;
  - (e) ensure that persons authorised to use an automated data processing system only have access to the data covered by their access authorisation;
  - (f) ensure that it is possible to verify and establish to which bodies personal data are transmitted when data are communicated;
  - (g) ensure that it is subsequently possible to verify and establish which personal data have been input into automated data processing systems and when and by whom the data were input ;
  - (h) prevent unauthorised reading, copying, modification or deletion of personal data during transfers of personal data or during transportation of data media.

## *Article 23*

### *Joint Supervisory Body (JSB)*

1. An independent JSB shall be established to monitor collectively the Eurojust activities referred to in Art. 14 to 22, 26, 26a and 27 in order to ensure that the processing of personal data is carried out in accordance with this Decision. In order to fulfil these tasks, the JSB shall be entitled to have full access to all files where such personal data are processed. Eurojust shall provide the JSB with all information from such files that it requests and shall assist that body in its tasks by every other means. The Joint Supervisory Body shall meet at least once in each half year. It shall also meet within the three months following the lodging of an appeal referred to in Article 19(8) or within three months following the date when a case was referred to it in accordance with Article 20(2). The Joint Supervisory Body may also be convened by its chairman when at least two Member States so request. In order to set up the JSB, each Member State, acting in accordance with its legal system, shall appoint a judge who is not a member of Eurojust, or, if its constitutional or national system so requires a person holding an office giving him sufficient independence, for inclusion on the list of judges who may sit on the JSB as members or ad hoc judges. No such appointment shall be for less than three years. Revocation of the appointment shall be governed by the principles for removal applicable under the national law of the Member State of origin. Appointment and removal shall be communicated to both the Council General Secretariat and Eurojust.
2. The JSB shall be composed of 3 permanent members and, as provided for in §4, ad hoc judges.
3. A judge appointed by a Member State shall become a permanent member after being elected by the plenary meeting of the persons appointed by the Member States in accordance with paragraph 1, and shall remain a permanent member for three years. Elections shall be held yearly for one permanent member of the Joint Supervisory Body by means of secret ballot. The Joint Supervisory Body shall be chaired by the member who is in his third year of mandate after elections. Permanent members may be re-elected. Appointees wishing to be elected shall present their candidacy in writing to the Secretariat of the Joint Supervisory Body ten days before the meeting in which the election is to take place.



4. One or more ad hoc judges shall also have seats, but only for the duration of the examination of an appeal concerning personal data from the Member State which has appointed them.
- 4a. The JSB shall adopt in its rules of procedure measures necessary to implement paragraphs 3 and 4.
5. The composition of the JSB shall remain the same for the duration of an appeals procedure even if the permanent members have reached the end of their term of office pursuant to § 3.
6. Each member and ad hoc judge shall be entitled to one vote. In the event of a tied vote, the chairman shall have the casting vote.
7. The JSB shall examine appeals submitted to it in accordance with Art. 19(8) and Art.20(2) and carry out controls in accordance with §1, first sub§, of this article. If the JSB considers that a decision taken by Eurojust or the processing of data by it is not compatible with this Decision, the matter shall be referred to Eurojust, which shall accept the decision of the JSB.
8. Decisions of the JSB shall be final and binding on Eurojust.
9. The persons appointed by the Member States in accordance with §1, third sub§, presided over by the chairman of the JSB, shall adopt internal rules of procedure which, for the purpose of the examination of appeals, lay down objective criteria for the appointment of the Body's members.
10. Secretariat costs shall be borne by the Eurojust budget. The secretariat of the JSB shall enjoy independence in the discharge of its function within the Eurojust secretariat. The Secretariat of the Joint Supervisory Body may rely upon the expertise of the secretariat established by Decision 2000/641/JHA<sup>1</sup>.
11. The members of the JSB shall be subject to the obligation of confidentiality laid down in Art. 25.

---

<sup>1</sup> Council Decision 2000/641/JHA of 17 October 2000 establishing a secretariat for the joint supervisory data-protection bodies set up by the Convention on the Establishment of a European Police Office (Europol Convention), the Convention on the Use of Information Technology for Customs Purposes and the Convention implementing the Schengen Agreement on the gradual abolition of checks at the common borders (Schengen Convention) (OJ L 271, 24.10.2000, p. 1).

12. The JSB shall submit an annual report to the Council.

#### *Article 24*

##### *Liability for unauthorised or incorrect processing of data*

1. Eurojust shall be liable, in accordance with the national law of the Member State where its headquarters are situated, for any damage caused to an individual which results from unauthorised or incorrect processing of data carried out by it.
2. Complaints against Eurojust pursuant to the liability referred to in §1 shall be heard by the courts of the Member State where its headquarters are situated.
3. Each Member State shall be liable, in accordance with its national law, for any damage caused to an individual, which results from unauthorised or incorrect processing carried out by it of data which were communicated to Eurojust.

#### *Article 25*

##### *Confidentiality*

1. The national members, their deputies and their assistants referred to in Article 2(2), Eurojust staff, national correspondents and the Data Protection Officer shall be bound by an obligation of confidentiality, without prejudice to Article 2(4).
2. The obligation of confidentiality shall apply to all persons and to all bodies called upon to work with Eurojust.
3. The obligation of confidentiality shall also apply after leaving office or employment or after the termination of the activities of the persons referred to in § 1 and 2.
4. Without prejudice to Article 2(4), the obligation of confidentiality shall apply to all information received by Eurojust.

*Article 25a*

*Cooperation with the European Judicial Network*

*and other networks of the European Union involved in cooperation in criminal matters*

1. Eurojust and the European Judicial Network shall maintain privileged relations with each other, based on consultation and complementarity, especially between the national member, the European Judicial Network contact points of the same Member State and the national correspondents for Eurojust and the European Judicial Network. In order to ensure efficient cooperation, the following measures shall be taken:
  - (a) national members shall, on a case-by-case basis, inform the European Judicial Network contact points of all cases which they consider the Network to be in a better position to deal with;
  - (b) the Secretariat of the European Judicial Network shall form part of the staff of Eurojust. It shall function as a separate unit. It may draw on the administrative resources of Eurojust which are necessary for the performance of the European Judicial Network's tasks, including for covering the costs of the plenary meetings of the Network. Where plenary meetings are held at the premises of the Council in Brussels, the costs may only cover travel expenses and costs for interpretation. Where plenary meetings are held in the Member State holding the Presidency of the Council, the costs may only cover part of the overall costs of the meeting;
  - (c) European Judicial Network contact points may be invited on a case-by-case basis to attend Eurojust meetings.

2. Without prejudice to Article 4(1), the Secretariat of the Network for Joint Investigation Teams and of the network set up by Decision 2002/494/JHA shall form part of the staff of Eurojust. These secretariats shall function as separate units. They may draw on the administrative resources of Eurojust which are necessary for the performance of their tasks. Coordination between the secretariats shall be ensured by Eurojust.

This paragraph shall apply to the secretariat of any new network set up by a decision of the Council where that decision provides that the secretariat shall be provided by Eurojust.

3. The network set up by Decision 2008/852/JHA may request that Eurojust provide a secretariat to the network. If such request is made, paragraph 2 shall apply.

#### *Article 26*

##### *Relations with Community or Union related institutions, bodies and agencies*

1. Insofar as is relevant for the performance of its tasks, Eurojust may establish and maintain cooperative relations with the institutions, bodies and agencies set up by, or on the basis of, the Treaties establishing the European Communities or the Treaty on European Union. Eurojust shall establish and maintain cooperative relations with at least:
  - (a) Europol;
  - (b) OLAF;
  - (c) the European Agency for the Management of Operational Cooperation at the External Borders of the Member States of the European Union (Frontex);
  - (d) the Council, in particular its Joint Situation Centre.

Eurojust shall also establish and maintain cooperative relations with the European Judicial Training Network.

2. Eurojust may conclude agreements or working arrangements with the entities referred to in paragraph 1. Such agreements or working arrangements may, in particular, concern the exchange of information, including personal data, and the secondment of liaison officers to Eurojust. Such agreements or working arrangements may only be concluded after consultation by Eurojust with the Joint Supervisory Body concerning the provisions on data protection and after the approval by the Council, acting by qualified majority. Eurojust shall inform the Council of any plans it has for entering into any such negotiations and the Council may draw any conclusions it deems appropriate.
3. Prior to the entry into force of an agreement or arrangement as referred to in paragraph 2, Eurojust may directly receive and use information, including personal data, from the entities referred to in paragraph 1, in so far as this is necessary for the legitimate performance of its tasks, and it may directly transmit information, including personal data, to such entities, in so far as this is necessary for the legitimate performance of the recipient's tasks and in accordance with the rules on data protection provided in this Decision.
4. OLAF may contribute to Eurojust's work to coordinate investigations and prosecution procedures regarding the protection of the financial interests of the European Communities, either on the initiative of Eurojust or at the request of OLAF where the competent national authorities concerned do not oppose such participation.
5. For purposes of the receipt and transmission of information between Eurojust and OLAF, and without prejudice to Article 9, Member States shall ensure that the national members of Eurojust shall be regarded as competent authorities of the Member States solely for the purposes of Regulation (EC) No 1073/1999 and

Council Regulation (Euratom) No 1074/1999 of 25 May 1999 concerning investigations conducted by the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF)<sup>1</sup>. The exchange of information between OLAF and national members shall be without prejudice to the information which must be given to other competent authorities under those Regulations.

*Article 26a*

*Relations with third States and organisations*

1. In so far as is required for the performance of its tasks, Eurojust may establish and maintain cooperative relations with the following entities:
  - (a) third States;
  - (b) organisations such as:
    - (i) international organisations and their subordinate bodies governed by public law;
    - (ii) other bodies governed by public law which are based on an agreement between two or more States; and
    - (iii) the International Criminal Police Organisation (Interpol).
2. Eurojust may conclude agreements with the entities referred to in paragraph 1. Such agreements may, in particular, concern the exchange of information, including personal data, and the secondment of liaison officers or liaison magistrates to Eurojust. Such agreements may only be concluded after consultation by Eurojust with the Joint Supervisory Body concerning the provisions on data protection and after the approval by the Council, acting by qualified majority. Eurojust shall inform the Council of any plans it has for entering into any such negotiations and the Council may draw any conclusions it deems appropriate.

---

<sup>1</sup> OJ L 136, 31.5.1999, p. 8.

3. Agreements referred to in paragraph 2 containing provisions on the exchange of personal data may only be concluded if the entity concerned is subject to the Council of Europe Convention of 28 January 1981 or after an assessment confirming the existence of an adequate level of data protection ensured by that entity.
4. Agreements referred to in paragraph 2 shall include provisions on the monitoring of their implementation, including implementation of the rules on data protection.
5. Prior to the entry into force of the agreements referred to in paragraph 2, Eurojust may directly receive information, including personal data in so far as this is necessary for the legitimate performance of its tasks.
6. Prior to the entry into force of the agreements referred to in paragraph 2, Eurojust may under the conditions laid down in Article 27(1), directly transmit information, except for personal data, to these entities, in so far as this is necessary for the legitimate performance of the recipient's tasks.
7. Eurojust may, under the conditions laid down in Article 27(1), transmit personal data to the entities referred to in paragraph 1, where:
  - (a) this is necessary in individual cases for the purposes of preventing or combating criminal offences for which Eurojust is competent, and
  - (b) Eurojust has concluded an agreement as referred to in paragraph 2 with the entity concerned which has entered into force and which permits the transmission of such data.
8. Any subsequent failure, or substantial likelihood of failure, on the part of the entities referred to in paragraph 1 to meet the conditions referred to in paragraph 3, shall immediately be communicated by Eurojust to the Joint Supervisory Body and the Member States concerned. The Joint Supervisory Body may prevent the further exchange of personal data with the relevant entities until it is satisfied that adequate remedies have been provided.

9. However, even if the conditions referred to in paragraph 7 are not fulfilled, a national member may, acting in his capacity as a competent national authority and in conformity with the provisions of his own national law, by way of exception and with the sole aim of taking urgent measures to counter imminent serious danger threatening a person or public security, carry out an exchange of information involving personal data. The national member shall be responsible for the legality of authorising the communication. The national member shall keep a record of communications of data and of the grounds for such communications. The communication of data shall be authorised only if the recipient gives an undertaking that the data will be used only for the purpose for which they were communicated.

#### *Article 27*

#### *Transmission of data*

1. Before Eurojust exchanges any information with the entities referred to in Article 26a, the national member of the Member State which submitted the information shall give his consent to the transfer of that information. In appropriate cases the national member shall consult the competent authorities of the Member States.
2. Eurojust shall be responsible for the legality of the transmission of data. Eurojust shall keep a record of all transmissions of data under Articles 26 and 26a and of the grounds for such transmissions. Data shall only be transmitted if the recipient gives an undertaking that the data will be used only for the purpose for which they were transmitted.



*Article 27a*

*Liaison magistrates posted to third States*

1. For the purpose of facilitating judicial cooperation with third States in cases in which Eurojust is providing assistance in accordance with this Decision, the College may post liaison magistrates to a third State, subject to an agreement as referred to in Article 26a with that third State. Before negotiations are entered into with a third State, the Council, acting by qualified majority, shall give its approval. Eurojust shall inform the Council of any plans it has for entering into any such negotiations and the Council may draw any conclusions it deems appropriate.
2. The liaison magistrate referred to in paragraph 1 is required to have experience of working with Eurojust and adequate knowledge of judicial cooperation and how Eurojust operates. The posting of a liaison magistrate on behalf of Eurojust shall be subject to the prior consent of the magistrate and of his Member State.
3. Where the liaison magistrate posted by Eurojust is selected among national members, deputies or assistants:
  - (i) he shall be replaced in his function as a national member, deputy or assistant, by the Member State;
  - (ii) he ceases to be entitled to exercise the powers granted to him in accordance with Articles 9a to 9e.

4. Without prejudice to Article 110 of the Staff Regulations of Officials of the European Communities laid down by Regulation (EEC, Euratom, ECSC) No 259/68<sup>1</sup>, the College shall draw up rules on the posting of liaison magistrates and adopt the necessary implementing arrangements in this respect in consultation with the Commission.
5. The activities of liaison magistrates posted by Eurojust shall be the subject of supervision by the Joint Supervisory Body. The liaison magistrates shall report to the College, which shall inform the European Parliament and the Council in the annual report and in an appropriate manner of their activities. The liaison magistrates shall inform national members and national competent authorities of all cases concerning their Member State.
6. Competent authorities of the Member States and liaison magistrates referred to in paragraph 1 may contact each other directly. In such cases, the liaison magistrate shall inform the national member concerned of such contacts.
7. The liaison magistrates referred to in paragraph 1 shall be connected to the Case Management System.

*Article 27b*

*Requests for judicial cooperation to and from third States*

1. Eurojust may, with the agreement of the Member States concerned, coordinate the execution of requests for judicial cooperation issued by a third State where these requests are part of the same investigation and require execution in at least two Member States. Requests referred to in this paragraph may also be transmitted to Eurojust by a competent national authority.

---

<sup>1</sup> OJ L 56, 4.3.1968, p. 1

2. In case of urgency and in accordance with Article 5a, the OCC may receive and process requests referred to in paragraph 1 of this Article and issued by a third State which has concluded a cooperation agreement with Eurojust.
3. Without prejudice to Article 3(2), where requests for judicial cooperation, which relate to the same investigation and require execution in a third State, are made, Eurojust may also, with the agreement of the Member States concerned, facilitate judicial cooperation with that third State.
4. Requests referred to in paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 may be transmitted through Eurojust if it is in conformity with the instruments applicable to the relationship between that third State and the European Union or the Member States concerned.

*Article 27c*

*Liability other than liability for unauthorised or incorrect processing of data*

1. Eurojust's contractual liability shall be governed by the law applicable to the contract in question.
2. In the case of non-contractual liability, Eurojust shall, independently of any liability under Article 24, make good any damage caused through the fault of the College or the staff of Eurojust in the performance of their duties in so far as it may be imputed to them and regardless of the different procedures for claiming damages which exist under the law of the Member States.
3. Paragraph 2 shall also apply to damage caused through the fault of a national member, a deputy or an assistant in the performance of his duties. However, when he is acting on the basis of the powers granted to him pursuant to Articles 9a to 9e, his Member State of origin shall reimburse Eurojust the sums which Eurojust has paid to make good such damage.
4. The injured party shall have the right to demand that Eurojust refrain from taking, or cease, any action.

5. The national courts of the Member States competent to deal with disputes involving Eurojust's liability as referred to in this Article shall be determined by reference to Council Regulation (EC) No 44/2001 of 22 December 2000 on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters<sup>1</sup>.

## *Article 28*

### *Organisation and operation*

1. The College shall be responsible for the organisation and operation of Eurojust.
2. The College shall elect a President from among the national members and may, if it considers it necessary, elect at most two Vice-Presidents. The result of the election shall be submitted to the Council acting by qualified majority, for its approval.
3. The President shall exercise his duties on behalf of the College and under its authority, direct its work and monitor the daily management ensured by the Administrative Director. The rules of procedure shall specify the cases in which his decisions or actions shall require prior authorisation or a report to the College.
4. The term of office of the President shall be three years. He may be re-elected once. The term of office of any Vice-President(s) shall be governed by the rules of procedure.
5. Eurojust shall be assisted by a secretariat headed by an Administrative Director.
6. Eurojust shall exercise over its staff the powers devolved to the Appointing Authority. The College shall adopt appropriate rules for the implementation of this § in accordance with the rules of procedure.

---

<sup>1</sup> OJ L 12, 16.1.2001, p. 1

*Article 29*

*Administrative Director*

1. The Administrative Director of Eurojust shall be appointed by two-thirds majority by the College. The College shall set up a selection board which, following a call for applications, shall establish a list of candidates from among whom the College shall choose the Administrative Director. The Commission shall be entitled to participate in the selection process and to sit on the selection board.
2. The term of office of the Administrative Director shall be five years. It may be extended once without a need for a call for applications, provided that the College so decides by a three-fourths majority and appoints the Administrative Director with the same majority.
3. The Administrative Director shall be subject to the rules and regulations applicable to officials and other servants of the European Communities.
4. The Administrative Director shall work under the authority of the College and its President, acting in accordance with Article 28(3). He may be removed from office by the College by a two-thirds majority.
5. The Administrative Director shall be responsible, under the supervision of the President, for the day-to-day administration of Eurojust and for staff management. To that end, he shall be responsible for establishing and implementing, in cooperation with the College, an effective monitoring and evaluation procedure relating to the performance of Eurojust's administration in terms of achieving its objectives. The Administrative Director shall report regularly to the College on the results of this monitoring.

## *Article 30*

### *Staff*

1. Eurojust staff shall be subject to the rules and regulations applicable to the officials and other servants of the European Communities, particularly as regards their recruitment and status.
2. Eurojust staff shall consist of staff recruited according to the rules and regulations referred to in §1, taking into account all the criteria referred to in Article 27 of the Staff Regulations of Officials of the European Communities laid down by Regulation (EEC, Euratom, ECSC) No 259/68, including their geographical distribution. They shall have the status of permanent staff, temporary staff or local staff. At the request of the Administrative Director, and in agreement with the President on behalf of the College, Community officials may be seconded to Eurojust by the Community institutions as temporary staff. Member States may second national experts to Eurojust who may also assist the national member. The College shall adopt the necessary implementing arrangements for seconded national experts.
3. Under the authority of the College the staff shall carry out its tasks bearing in mind the objectives and mandate of Eurojust, without seeking or accepting instructions from any government, authority, organisation or person extraneous to Eurojust without prejudice to Articles 25a(1)(c) and 25a(2).

## *Article 31*

### *Assistance with interpreting and translation*

1. The official linguistic arrangements of the Union shall apply to Eurojust proceedings.
2. The annual report to the Council, referred to in the second sub§ of Art. 32(1), shall be drawn up in the official languages of the Union institutions.

## *Article 32*

### *Informing the European Parliament, the Council and the Commission*

1. The President, on behalf of the College, shall report to the Council in writing every year on the activities and management, including budgetary management, of Eurojust.

To that end, the College shall prepare an annual report on the activities of Eurojust and on any criminal policy problems within the Union highlighted as a result of Eurojust's activities. In that report, Eurojust may also make proposals for the improvement of judicial cooperation in criminal matters.

The President shall also submit any report or any other information on the operation of Eurojust which may be required of him by the Council.

2. Each year the Presidency of the Council shall forward a report to the European Parliament on the work carried out by Eurojust and on the activities of the JSB.
3. The Commission or the Council may seek Eurojust's opinion on all draft instruments prepared under Title VI of the Treaty.

## *Article 33*

### *Finance*

1. The salaries and emoluments of the national members, deputies and assistants referred to in Article 2(2) shall be borne by their Member State of origin.
2. Where national members, deputies and assistants act within the framework of Eurojust's tasks, the relevant expenditure related to these activities shall be regarded as operational expenditure within the meaning of Article 41(3) of the Treaty.

*Article 34*

*Budget*

1. Forecasts shall be made of all Eurojust revenue and expenditure for each financial year, which shall be the same as the calendar year. Revenue and expenditure shall be entered in the budget, which shall include the establishment plan which shall be submitted to the budget authority competent for the general budget of the EU. The establishment plan shall consist of posts of a permanent or temporary nature and a reference to national experts seconded, and shall state the number, grade and category of the staff employed by Eurojust for the financial year in question.
2. Revenue and expenditure shall be balanced in the Eurojust budget.
3. Without prejudice to other resources, Eurojust revenue may include a subsidy entered in the general budget of the EU.
4. Eurojust expenditure shall include inter alia expenditure relating to interpreters and translators, expenditure on security, administrative and infrastructure expenditure, operational and rental costs, travel expenses of members of Eurojust and its staff and costs arising from contracts with third parties.



## *Article 35*

### *Drawing up of the budget*

1. Each year the College, on the basis of a draft drawn up by the Administrative Director, shall produce an estimate of revenue and expenditure for Eurojust for the following financial year. This estimate, which shall include a draft establishment plan, shall be forwarded by the College to the Commission by 10 February at the latest. The European Judicial Network and networks referred to in Article 25a(2) shall be informed on the parts related to the activities of their secretariats in due time before the forwarding of the estimate to the Commission.
2. On the basis of the estimate, the Commission shall propose in the preliminary draft general budget of the European Union the amount of the annual subsidy as well as the posts of a permanent or temporary nature and submit this proposal to the budgetary authority in accordance with Article 272 of the Treaty.
3. The budgetary authority shall authorise the appropriations for the subsidy to Eurojust and determine the posts of a permanent or temporary nature within the framework of the Staff Regulations of officials and other Servants of the European Communities.
4. Before the beginning of the financial year, the College of Eurojust shall adopt the budget, including the establishment plan referred to in Article 34(1), third sentence, on the basis of the annual subsidy and posts authorised by the budgetary authority in accordance with paragraph 3 of this Article, adjusting it to the various contributions granted to Eurojust and the funds from other sources.

## *Article 36*

### *Implementation of the budget and discharge*

1. The Administrative Director shall, as authorising officer, implement the Eurojust budget. He shall report to the College on the implementation of the budget.
2. By 1 March at the latest following each financial year, the accounting officer of Eurojust shall communicate the provisional accounts to the Commission's accounting officer and the Court of Auditors together with a report on the budgetary and financial management for that financial year. The Commission's accounting officer shall consolidate the provisional accounts of the institutions and decentralised bodies in accordance with Article 128 of the general Financial Regulation.
3. Eurojust shall send the report on the budgetary and financial management for the financial year to the European Parliament and the Council by 31 March of the following year.
4. On receipt of the Court of Auditors' observations on Eurojust's provisional accounts, pursuant to Article 129 of the general Financial Regulation, the Administrative Director shall draw up Eurojust's final accounts under his own responsibility and submit them to the College of Eurojust for an opinion.
5. The College of Eurojust shall deliver an opinion on Eurojust's final accounts.
6. The Administrative Director shall, by 1 July at the latest following each financial year, forward the final accounts to the European Parliament, the Council, the Commission and the Court of Auditors, together with the College of Eurojust's opinion.
7. The final accounts shall be published.
8. The Administrative Director shall send the Court of Auditors a reply to its observations by 30 September at the latest. He shall also send this reply to the College of Eurojust.

9. The Administrative Director, acting under the authority of the College of Eurojust and its President, shall submit to the European Parliament at the latter's request any information required for the smooth application of the discharge procedure for the financial year in question, as laid down in Article 146(3) of the general Financial Regulation.
10. The European Parliament, on a recommendation from the Council acting by a qualified majority, shall, before 15 May of year N + 2, give a discharge to the Administrative Director in respect of the implementation of the budget for year N.

### *Article 37*

#### *Financial regulation applicable to the budget*

The financial rules applicable to Eurojust's budget shall be adopted unanimously by the College after the Commission has been consulted. They may not depart from Commission Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 2343/2002 of 19 November 2002 on the framework Financial Regulation for the bodies referred to in Article 185 of Council Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 1605/2002 on the Financial Regulation applicable to the general budget of the European Communities<sup>1</sup> unless specifically required for Eurojust's operation and with the Commission's prior consent.

### *Article 38*

#### *Audit*

1. The responsibility for putting in place internal control systems and procedures suitable for carrying out his tasks shall lie with the authorising officer.

---

<sup>1</sup> OJ L 357, 31.12.2002, p. 72 with Corrigendum in OJ L 2, 7.1.2003, p. 39.

2. The College shall appoint an internal auditor who shall be responsible in particular for providing, in accordance with the relevant international standards, an assurance regarding the proper functioning of the systems and procedures for implementing the budget. The internal auditor may not be either the authorising officer or the accountant. The College may ask the Commission's internal auditor to carry out these duties.
3. The auditor shall report his findings and recommendations to Eurojust and submit a copy of the report to the Commission. Eurojust shall, in the light of the auditor's reports, take the necessary measures in response to these recommendations.
4. The rules laid down by Regulation (EC) No 1073/1999 shall apply to Eurojust. The College shall adopt the necessary implementing measures.

#### *Article 39*

##### *Access to documents*

On the basis of a proposal by the Administrative Director, the College shall adopt rules for access to Eurojust documents, taking account of the principles and limits stated in Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2001 regarding public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents.

#### *Article 39a*

##### *EU classified information*

Eurojust shall apply the security principles and minimum standards set out in Council Decision 2001/264/EC of 19 March 2001 adopting the Council's security regulations<sup>1</sup> in the management of EU classified information.

---

<sup>1</sup> OJ L 101 of 11.04.2001, p.1

*Article 40*

*Territorial application*

This Decision shall apply to Gibraltar, which shall be represented by the national member for the United Kingdom.

*Article 41*

*Reporting*

1. Member States shall notify Eurojust and the General Secretariat of the Council of the designation of national members, deputies, assistants as well as persons referred to in Article 12(1) and (2) and of any change to this designation. The General Secretariat of the Council shall keep an updated list of these persons and shall make their names and contact details available to all Member States and to the Commission.
2. The definitive appointment of a national member can not take effect before the day on which the General Secretariat of the Council receives the official notifications referred to in paragraph 1 and Article 9a(3).

## *Article 41a*

### *Evaluation*

1. Before 04 June 2014 and every five years thereafter, the College shall commission an independent external evaluation of the implementation of this Decision as well as of the activities carried out by Eurojust.
2. Each evaluation shall assess the impact of this Decision, Eurojust's performance in terms of achieving the objectives referred to in this Decision as well as the effectiveness and efficiency of Eurojust. The College shall issue specific terms of reference in consultation with the Commission.
3. The evaluation report shall include the evaluation findings and recommendations. This report shall be forwarded to the European Parliament, the Council and the Commission and shall be made public.

## *Article 42*

### *Transposition*

1. If necessary the Member States shall bring their national law into conformity with this Decision at the earliest opportunity and in any case no later than 04 June 2011.
2. The Commission shall at regular intervals examine the implementation by the Member States of Decision 2002/187/JHA as amended and shall submit a report thereon to the European Parliament and to the Council together with, if appropriate, necessary proposals to improve judicial cooperation and the functioning of Eurojust. This shall in particular apply to Eurojust's capacities to support Member States in fighting terrorism.

*Article 43*

*Taking of effect*

This Decision shall take effect on the day of its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

Done at Brussels

For the Council

*The President*

---

"ANNEX

List referred to in Article 13(10) setting out  
the minimum types of information to be transmitted, where available,  
to Eurojust pursuant to Article 13(5), (6) and (7)

1. For situations referred to in Article 13(5):
  - (a) participating Member States,
  - (b) type of offences concerned,
  - (c) date of the agreement setting up the team,
  - (d) planned duration of the team, including modification of this duration,
  - (e) details of the leader of the team for each participating Member State,
  - (f) short summary of the results of the joint investigation teams.
2. For situations referred to in Article 13(6):
  - (a) data which identify the person, group or entity that is the object of a criminal investigation or prosecution,



- (b) Member States concerned,
- (c) the offence concerned and its circumstances,
- (d) data related to the requests for, or decisions on, judicial cooperation including regarding instruments giving effect to the principle of mutual recognition, which are issued, including:
  - (i) date of the request,
  - (ii) requesting or issuing authority,
  - (iii) requested or executing authority,
  - (iv) type of request (measures requested),
  - (v) whether or not the request has been executed, and if not on what grounds.

3. For situations referred to in Article 13(7)(a):

- (a) Member States and competent authorities concerned,
- (b) data which identify the person, group or entity that is the object of a criminal investigation or prosecution,
- (c) the offence concerned and its circumstances.

4. For situations referred to in Article 13(7)(b):
    - (a) Member States and competent authorities concerned,
    - (b) data which identify the person, group or entity that is the object of a criminal investigation or prosecution,
    - (c) type of delivery,
    - (d) type of offence in connection with which the controlled delivery is carried out.
  5. For situations referred to in Article 13(7)(c):
    - (a) requesting or issuing State,
    - (b) requested or executing State,
    - (c) description of the difficulties."
-